FARUQI & FARUQI, LLP 1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CAUFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO Lisa Omoto (SBN 303830) 2 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1060 SAN SERNARDINO DISTRICT Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (424) 256-2884 3 AUG 0 3 2023 Facsimile: (424) 256-2885 E-mail: lomoto@faruqilaw.com 4 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff Stacy Dorcas 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO 9 STACY DORCAS, individually, and on behalf Case No CIVSB2222117 10 of all others similarly situated, **CLASS ACTION** 11 Plaintiff. 12 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF V. 13 **SETTLEMENT** ATERIAN, INC., 14 Date: Defendant. Time: 15 Dept.: S26 16 Judge: Hon. David S. Cohn 17 Action Filed: December 9, 2022 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL

WHEREAS, Plaintiff, Stacy Dorcas ("Plaintiff"), and Defendant, Aterian, Inc., ("Defendant"), (collectively, the "Parties") have reached a proposed settlement and compromise of the disputes between them (the "Settlement"); in the above captioned action ("Action");

WHEREAS, the Parties have applied to the Court for preliminary approval of the proposed Settlement, the terms and conditions of which are set forth in the Settlement;

AND NOW, the Court, having read and considered the Settlement and accompanying documents and the Motion For Preliminary Settlement Approval and supporting papers, and the Parties to the Settlement having consented to the entry of this Order, and all capitalized terms used herein having the meaning defined in the Settlement,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The Court, for purposes of this Order, adopts all defined terms as set forth in the Settlement.
- 2. Subject to further consideration by the Court at the time of the Final Approval Hearing, the Court preliminarily approves the Settlement as fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Class, as falling within the range of possible final approval, and as meriting submission to the Settlement Class for its consideration.
- 3. Based upon the submissions of the Parties, and for purposes of this Settlement only, the Court conditionally makes the following findings:
 - a. The members of the Settlement Class are so numerous as to make joinder impracticable.
 - b. There are questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class, and such questions predominate over any questions affecting only individual Settlement Class Members for purposes of the Settlement.
 - c. Plaintiff's claims and the defenses thereto are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class Members and the defenses thereto for purposes of the Settlement.
 - d. Plaintiff and her counsel have fairly and adequately protected, and will continue

to fairly and adequately protect, the interests of the Settlement Class Members with respect to the Settlement.

- e. The proposed Settlement is superior to all other available methods for fairly and efficiently resolving this Action.
- f. Accordingly, for settlement purposes only, the Court certifies a Settlement Class comprised of:

all Persons who purchased any of the Covered Products in the United States, its territories, or at any United States military facility or exchange during the Class Period. Excluded from the Settlement Class shall be the assigned Judge to the Action, counsel to the Parties, Mediator Louis M. Meisinger, and their employees, legal representatives, heirs, successors, assigns, or any members of their immediate family; any government entity; Defendant, any entity in which Defendant has a controlling interest, any of Defendant's subsidiaries, parents, affiliates, and officers, directors, employees, legal representatives, predecessors in interest, heirs, successors, or assigns, or any members of their immediate family; and any Persons who timely opt-out of the Settlement Class.

- 4. This matter is preliminarily certified as a class action for settlement purposes only, pursuant to Cal. Code Civ. Pro. § 382, Cal Civ. Code § 1781 and Rule 3.769(c) and (d) of the California Rules of Court. If the Court does not finally approve the Settlement, Defendant retains the right to assert that this Action may not be certified as a class action for liability purposes, and no Party shall rely on this preliminary approval as support for the certification of a class in this or any other action.
 - 5. The Court hereby appoints Plaintiff Stacy Dorcas as Class Representative.
- 6. The Court hereby appoints Lisa T. Omoto of Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP as Class Counsel.
 - 7. The Court hereby appoints A.B. Data, Ltd. as Settlement Administrator.
- 8. The Settlement shall be used for settlement purposes only. The fact of, or any provision contained in, the Settlement or any action taken pursuant to it shall not constitute an admission of the validity of any claim or any factual allegation that was or could have been made by Plaintiff and members of the Settlement Class in the present action or of any wrongdoing or liability of any kind on the part of Defendant. The Settlement shall not be offered or be admissible

in evidence by or against Defendant (or any of the Released Parties as defined in the Settlement) or cited or referred to in any other action or proceeding, except (a) in any action or proceeding brought by or against the Parties to enforce or otherwise implement the terms of the Settlement, or (b) in any action involving Plaintiff, or members of Settlement Class, in which the allegations are based on the same factual bases and allegations set forth in this case, to support a defense of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, waiver or other theory of claim preclusion, issue preclusion, or similar defense.

- 9. A final hearing (the "Final Approval Hearing") shall be held before this Court on 2/2/2 at 90°. m., to determine whether (a) this Action meets each of the prerequisites for class certification set forth in Cal. Code Civ. Pro. § 382 and Cal Civ. Code § 1781, and may properly be maintained as a class action on behalf of the Settlement Class; (b) the Settlement should receive final approval as fair, reasonable, adequate, and is in the best interests of the Settlement Class in light of any objections presented by Settlement Class Members and the Parties' responses to any such objections; (c) orders granting final approval of the Settlement, entering final judgment and dismissing the Complaint, as provided in the Settlement, should be entered; and (d) the application of Class Counsel for the payment of attorneys' fees, and costs and expenses, and an incentive award for Plaintiff is reasonable and should be approved. The Final Approval Hearing may be postponed, adjourned or continued by further order of this Court, and the Parties will publish the new hearing date on the Settlement website.
- 11. Any Settlement Class Member who has not timely filed a written request for exclusion from the Settlement Class may object to the fairness, reasonableness or adequacy of the

proposed Settlement. Each Settlement Class Member who wishes to object to any term of the Settlement must do so in writing by mailing a written Objection Statement to the Settlement Administrator. Any such objection must be postmarked, no later than the event that the postmark is illegible, the Objection Statement shall be deemed untimely unless it is received by the Settlement Administrator after five (5) calendar days of the Objection/Exclusion Deadline. Any Objection Statement must contain a caption or title that identifies it as "Objection to Class Settlement in Dorcas v. Aterian, Inc., Case No. CIVSB2222117" and shall also contain: (i) information sufficient to identify and contact the objecting Settlement Class Members, including name, address, telephone number, and, if available, email address, and if represented by counsel, the foregoing information for his/her counsel; (ii) whether the Settlement Class Member, or his or her counsel, intends to appear at the Final Approval Hearing; (iii) a clear and concise statement of the Settlement Class Member's objection, including all bases and legal grounds for the objection and copies of paper, briefs, or other documents upon which the objection is based; (iv) documents sufficient to establish the person's standing as a Settlement Class Member, i.e., verification under penalty of perjury as to the person's purchase of Covered Products during the Class Period, or a proof of purchase; and (v) the Settlement Class Member's signature.

- a. Any objection that fails to satisfy the requirements stated herein, or that is not properly and timely submitted, will be deemed ineffective, and will be deemed by the Parties to have been waived, and the Parties reserve their right to argue that the Settlement Class Member asserting such objection is not entitled to have his or her objection heard or otherwise considered by the Court.
- 12. The Court approves, as to form and content, the Claim Form, Email Notice, Long Form Notice and Publication Notice Form attached to the Settlement Agreement as Exhibits A, B, D, and F.
- 13. The Court finds that the Parties' plan for providing notice (the "Notice Plan") will provide the best notice practicable to the Settlement Class under the circumstances and constitute valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Settlement Class Members, fully complying with Cal. Code

of Civ. Pro. § 382, Cal. Civ. Code § 1781, the Constitution of the State of California, the Constitution of the United States, and other applicable law. All costs incurred in connection with the preparation and dissemination of any notices to the Settlement Class shall be borne by Defendant.

- 14. The Court further finds that the Notice Plan adequately informs members of the Settlement Class of their right to object to the Settlement or to exclude themselves from the Settlement Class so as not to be bound by the terms of the Settlement.
- 15. Not later than __ calendar days after the entry of this Order, Defendant shall cause notice to be disseminated as follows:
 - i. dissemination of the Publication Notice in San Bernardino Sun; and
 - ii. establishment and publishing of a Settlement website by the Claims
 Administrator (www.MuellerSettlement.com)
- 16. The Claims Administrator shall post the Settlement on the Settlement website. The Settlement website shall include the approved class definition set forth in Paragraph 3 above and the Claim Form, Email Notice, Long Form Notice and Publication Notice attached to the Settlement Agreement as Exhibits A, B, D, and F.
- 17. Defendant shall transmit to the Settlement Administrator the Settlement Amount no later than seven (7) calendar days after the entry of this Order.
- 18. The Parties shall file and serve papers in support of final approval of the Settlement by ________, 2023.
- 19. Class Counsel shall file any application for an award of attorneys' fees, costs and litigation expenses, and an incentive award for Plaintiff by for 2023.
- 20. Class Counsel shall file a single memorandum of law that addresses: (i) arguments in support of final approval of the Settlement; and (ii) Class Counsel's application for an award of attorneys' fees, costs and litigation expenses, and incentive award for Plaintiff's efforts and expenses. Such memorandum of law shall not exceed 50 pages in length.

- 21. The Parties shall file and serve reply papers in further support of final approval of the Settlement; and/or Class Counsel's application for an award of attorneys' fees, costs and litigation expenses, and reimbursement awards for Plaintiffs' expenses by no later than per CCP, 2024.
- 22. The Final Approval Hearing shall be held at 90° m. on 2/39, 2024 in Department S26.
 - 23. The following chart lists the important dates in chronological order:

Event	Proposed Date
Preliminary Approval Order	, 2023
Publication of notice in San Bernardino Sun; Send Direct Email Notice; and establishment of Settlement website (including Long Form Notice).	Within 20 days after entry of Preliminary Approval Order , 2023
Claims/Objection/Opt-out Deadline	180 after entry of Preliminary Approval Order 1/30, 2013
Class Counsel's briefs in support of Final Approval, Award of Attorneys' Fees & Costs Due	No later than 30 days prior Claims/ Objection/Opt-out Deadline
Responses to Any Objections Due	No later than 14 days prior to the Final Approval Hearing 12024
Final Approval Hearing	No earlier than 30 days after the Claims/ Objection/Opt-out Deadline 2/29/24 900 a , 2024

- 24. The Court may, for good cause, extend any of the deadlines set forth in this Order without further notice to the Settlement Class Members.
- 25. Pending further orders by this Court, all proceedings in this Action— other than proceedings pursuant to this Order shall be stayed and all members of the Settlement Class who

do not request exclusion from the Settlement Class in the manner required by this Order shall be enjoined from commencing or prosecuting any action, suit, proceeding, claim, or cause of action (except those based on or relating to personal injury or wrongful death), in any jurisdiction or court against Defendant relating to or arising out of the subject matter of this action.

- 26. If the proposed Settlement is finally approved, the Court shall enter a separate order finally approving the Settlement, entering judgment and dismissing the Complaint. Such order and judgment shall be fully binding with respect to all members of the Settlement Class.
- 27. In the event that the proposed Settlement is not approved by the Court, or in the event that the Settlement becomes null and void pursuant to its terms, this Order and all orders entered in connection therewith shall become null and void, shall be of no further force and effect, and shall not be used or referred to for any purposes whatsoever in this civil action or in any other case or controversy; in such event the Settlement and all negotiations and proceedings directly related thereto shall be deemed to be without prejudice to the rights of any and all of the Parties, who shall be restored to their respective positions as of the date and time immediately preceding the execution of the Settlement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 9/2 , 2023

The Hon. David S. Cohn